COMMON ASSESSMENT PROCEDURES

MIDPAC PEARL HARBOR PRESENTATION

MAY 2003

BACKGROUND

- July 2002 – FTSC SUMMIT
- Common Assessment Process (FTSCLANT lead)
- Common Assessment Tool (FTSCPAC lead)
- Common Assessment Procedures (FTSCLANT lead)

Guildelines / Vision

- One procedure used by all, regardless of platform or assessing activity
- Standardized assessment and Format (MRC)
- Standardized criteria
- Standardized data

Process

- FTSC identify assessment procedures
  - Start with equipment assessed for CNSF
  - Migrate to other TYCOMs
  - Migrate to other equipment / systems
- FTSC develop procedures where no procedures exist
- New procedures to be submitted to NAVSEA for approval / implementation

Procedure Categories

- Existing S/F MRCs
- Existing 800/900 series MRCs
- Tech Manuals
- Tech drawings
- SOVT
- Procedures developed from pieces and parts of above
- Procedures developed from other sources

Terminology

- Approved procedure: A technically approved document – MRC, T/M, Drawing, EOSS, SOVT, ISEA Material Condition Review.
- Concurrence – Activities concur that the identified procedure meets their requirements for conducting a material condition assessment. (FTSCLANT, FTSCPAC, INSURV, TYCOM, etc.)

Method

- Database developed to catalog procedures
- Tracking applicability by equipment / system / class / hull
  - Migrating to SCLSIS (APL)
- Tracking concurrence FTSCLANT / FTSCPAC / INSURV

Method (cont.)

- Existing S/F MRCs to be flagged as Assessment procedures
  - Similar to “K” cards for Sub. PMT
- Procedures developed from parts of other Tech documents submitted for approval
  - Flagged as assessment only procedures similar to 800 / 900 series MIPs/MRCs
- **FTSC Tech Codes validate procedures on the deck plates during assessment visits**

Method (cont.)

- INSURV and FTSC review assessment procedures
  - Identify INSURV interest items not covered by current procedure
  - Develop a recommended solution
    - Add items to procedure
    - Identify as unique to INSURV
- FTSC coordinate resolution

Status (5/8/03)

- 1272 procedures in MRC format
- 508 Tech Manual procedures
- 332 Certs., Dwgs., EOSS, SOVTs, Operability tests, and consolidated documents

FTSC POC Mark Kiser (757) 443-3872 ext. 1366 DSN (646)
mark.kiser@ftsclant.navy.mil

Issues / Concerns

- Assessors do not accomplish maintenance
  - Lubricating / cleaning / preservation portions of S/F MRCs not accomplished – understood by all?

PROCEDURES PROCESS

VISIT PROCESS



PROCEDURES PROCESS

FEEDBACK PROCESS



(15)

FEEDBACK TO IDENTIFY

GOOD PROCEDURES,

REDLINE MARK-UPS,

NEW PROCEDURES TO BE USED,

& PROCEDURES NOT TO BE USED

WILL REQUIRE CLARIFICATION AS TO APPLICABILITY OF FEEDBACK ON ONE SHIP, ONE CLASS, OR ALL

(17)

BRANCH HEAD PROVIDES

COPIES OF FEEDBACKS TO PROG OFF. FOR PROCESSING. BRANCH HEAD MAY CHOOSE TO KEEP A COPY IN BRANCH.

IF FEEDBACK IS PROCEDURE IS GOOD, BRANCHHEAD IS TO SIGN SHEET APPROVING PROCEDURE, FORWARD TO DIVIDION HEAD FOR SIGNATURE AND FORWARDING TO 4100

(4)

DATABASE TO BE UPDATED:

1. PROCEDURES IDENTIFIED AS "GOOD" WILL BE ANNOTATED AS "CONCURED BY THE APPROPRIATE ORG.

2. CHANGES WILL BE PROCESSED AND THE CONCUR BLOCK WILL BE CLEARED FOR THAT ORG. UNTIL DECKPLATE VALIDATION IS COMPLETE.

3. NEW PROCEDURES WILL BE INCORPORATED INTO DATABASE AND AWAIT DECK PLATE VALIDATION.

4. PROCEDURES NOT TO BE USED WILL BE DELETED FROM DATABASE AS APPROPRIATE.

(4A)

ID SHIP,

NEW PROCEDURES TO BE PUT IN WORD FORMAT UNTIL XML CAPABILITY IS PRESENT.

LEGEND

- PROG. OFF.
- Tech Code
- Prog Off/Tech Code
- ALL